
Patent Prosecution

Volpe Koenig is highly regarded by clients for the scope and depth of our patent procurement services during all stages of the process. Citing responsiveness as the firm's top strength, clients view our patent professionals as key partners for both strategy and execution in developing their patent portfolios.

Valid enforceable claims are always our focus. We identify the business objectives for a specific patent filing early and revisit those objectives throughout prosecution. Particularly in technology areas with evolving case law, we consult with our clients to ensure that we draft robust disclosures with structural/architectural alternatives that, wherever possible, anticipate and mitigate changes in the law and technology.

Many of our patent professionals hold advanced degrees and bring real world industry experience in electrical, mechanical, chemical and civil engineering, as well as computer technology and the life sciences. We have former patent examiners in the patent procurement group, including those with a deep understanding of USPTO 35 USC 101 rejections.

Our network of foreign associates extends across the globe, and with our associates we routinely help clients secure patent protection in every commercially relevant country. We regularly counsel clients on tactics to secure protection for inventions either directly in individual countries, or through an international (PCT) application, which is prepared to maximize downstream protection in countries around the world. In addition, our practitioners have extensive experience mining patent portfolios, conducting periodic portfolio reviews, establishing and implementing prosecution protocols based on a customized ranking system, and preparing claim charts.

Proficiencies & Successes

- Processing and Manufacturing
- Wireless Communications
- Cannabis
- Technology Transfer

Attorneys

Joseph P. Gushue
Wesley T. McMichael

Professionals

Robert J. Ballarini
Michael B. Barboun
Brandon J. Barr
John M. Bollinger
Joseph P. Boos
Douglas J. Bucklin, Ph.D.
Andrew D. Buschmeier, Ph.D.
Dennis F. DeFino, Jr.
Emily A. Denisco
John C. Donch Jr
Jonathan M. Dunsay
Daniel H. Golub
Brian P. Gordaychik
Patrick S. Griffin
Danielle N. Gross, Ph.D.
Joseph P. Gushue
Gerald B. Halt Jr.
Joshua A. Hamberger
Lee Hsu
Randolph J. Huis
Dawn C. Kerner
C. Frederick Koenig III
Edward T. La Barr
Robert D. Leonard
Daniel R. Ling
Michael Maicher
Wesley T. McMichael
Todd A. Norton
Ryan W. O'Donnell

News & Events

USPTO Transitions to Issuing Electronic Patents in April 2023, Expect Less Advance Notice of Issue Dates
03.23.2023

IP Insights

CLE Webinar- Patent Searching Fundamentals: Tips for Performing and Directing Searches
09.28.2023

CLE Webinar-Patenting AI and Software Related Inventions in the U.S. and Europe
12.08.2022

Webinar-Drafting a Patent Application from a Paragraph (EE/CS)
09.08.2022

CLE Webinar-June 9, 2022- Taking Control of The Pace of Prosecution
06.09.2022

Patent FAQs
04.26.2022

Blog Posts

Practical Considerations for Information Disclosure Statements in Light of *Elekta*
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 11.03.2023

Federal Circuit Precedential Opinion Raises New Issues with Obviousness-Type Double Patenting for Related Patents Having Different Expiration Dates
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 09.01.2023

After 43 Years The Bayh-Dole Act Still Reigns Over US Government Funded Innovations
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 07.13.2023

Artificially Intelligent, Legally Confusing: The Rights in AI-Generated Works
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 04.26.2023

Incorporated References Sufficient to Establish Anticipation
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 04.13.2023

Alok Parikh
Ana Lucia Pinheiro, Ph.D.
Daniel E. Rose
Bryan A. Santarelli
Joshua D. Schmid
Marina A. Sigareva, Ph.D.
Robert S. Silver
Michael F. Snyder
Brandon R. Theiss
Nicholas M. Tinari
Anthony S. Volpe
Christina R. Walsh, Ph.D.
Stuart A. Whittington

Related Industries

Computer Technology Software and Business Methods
Consumer Products and Services
Electrical Technology and Components
Life Sciences and Chemistry
Material Sciences and Metallurgy
Mechanical Technologies

Global Experience

Asia Pacific
Europe
Middle East and Africa
North America
South America

Occupying the Territory: Creative AI Poses A Threat To The Patent System, Will Courts Step Up To Address It? Part 2

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 03.20.2023

Occupying the Territory: Creative AI Poses A Threat To The Patent System, Will Courts Step Up To Address It? Part 1

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 03.17.2023

Claim Terms- When the Dictionary Doesn't Have the Answer

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 03.06.2023

Including a Description of Technical Advantages During Patent Application Drafting May Help Patent Eligibility

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 10.18.2022

USPTO Getting Faster: How to Control the Pace of Patent Prosecution in a More Efficient Patent System

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 03.14.2022

Artificial Intelligence and Patents

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 03.02.2022

Could Artificial Intelligence Drive Patent Eligibility Reform?

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 11.02.2021

Contradictory FDA/USPTO Regulatory Requirements? Belcher's Missteps Results in Loss of Patent Rights

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 10.25.2021

\$1.1 Billion Dollars Washed Down the Written Description Drain

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 08.27.2021

10 Questions About Patent Prosecution That Every Inside Counsel Should Be Able To Answer for Their Inventors

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 06.29.2021

Federal Circuit Invalidates Means-Plus-Function Claims for Computer-Implemented Inventions

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 04.12.2021

Fourth Amendment to China Patent Law Will Have Major Impact on Patent Enforcement

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 02.04.2021

Better Inventor Communication – Hidden Cost Savings In Patent Prosecution

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 08.28.2020