
Opinions

Prior to bringing a new technology to market, it is critical that our clients proceed in an informed, strategic manner. This includes determining whether the technology could potentially be protected by a patent. This also includes determining whether there are any infringement issues that our clients should be aware of, such as third party patents or trademarks.

Volpe Koenig has vast experience performing intellectual property studies and providing reasoned opinions to clients, such as patentability studies, freedom to operate and clearance analyses, and invalidity studies.

Our professionals have both an expansive knowledge of intellectual property law as well as technical experience in relevant technologies. In providing intellectual property opinions, we take into account a client's overall business model and relevant industry in evaluating an innovation's novelty, utility, and non-obviousness as compared to the field's prior art. With several former patent examiners and technological experts providing in-house searching capabilities in a wide variety of technology areas, Volpe Koenig can efficiently focus on the significant body of prior art that may impact the concept at issue.

When working to clear products for market, Volpe Koenig also relies on its years of experience counseling clients in litigation regarding potential risks posed by third-party patents and trademarks. In situations where the intellectual property landscape warrants a more granular review, the work is tactically performed with the global market in mind. In this way, Volpe Koenig provides support for our clients' comprehensive risk management approach.

Blog Posts

Safe Harbors Can be Breached — Skinny Labeling, Drugs, and Patent Infringement

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 08.19.2021

Assignor Estoppel: When Can A Party Challenge A Patent They Sold?

Imagine That IP Law Blog, 06.29.2021

Attorneys

Dawn C. Kerner

Michael F. Snyder

Professionals

Robert J. Ballarini

Michael B. Barboun

Brandon J. Barr

John M. Bollinger

Douglas J. Bucklin, Ph.D.

Andrew D. Buschmeier, Ph.D.

Dennis F. DeFino, Jr.

John C. Donch Jr

Jonathan M. Dunsay

Daniel H. Golub

Patrick S. Griffin

Danielle N. Gross, Ph.D.

Joseph P. Gushue

Gerald B. Halt Jr.

Joshua A. Hamberger

Lee Hsu

Randolph J. Huis

Dawn C. Kerner

C. Frederick Koenig III

Edward T. La Barr

Robert D. Leonard

Daniel R. Ling

Michael Maicher

Wesley T. McMichael

Todd A. Norton

Ryan W. O'Donnell

Daniel E. Rose

Bryan A. Santarelli

Joshua D. Schmid

Federal Circuit Invalidation of Targeted Advertising Claims Reaffirms Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Precedent but Recent Petition for Rehearing Looms
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 06.23.2021

Advice from Ben Franklin on Choosing Patent Terms
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 05.21.2021

EagleView Techs., Inc. v. Xactware Solutions: A Cautionary Tale
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 05.07.2021

Using Opinions of Counsel as a Budgeting Tool
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 04.29.2021

Royalties Over Lupus Treatment Terminated by Patent Disclaimer
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 03.05.2021

Non-Disclosure Agreement Lessons from SiOnyx LLC v. Hamamatsu Photonics K.K. (Decided December 7, 2020)
Imagine That IP Law Blog, 01.21.2021

Marina Sigareva, Ph.D.

Robert S. Silver

Michael F. Snyder

Chelsea A. Steadman

Brandon R. Theiss

Nicholas M. Tinari

Anthony S. Volpe

Related Industries

Computer Technology Software and Business Methods

Consumer Products and Services

Electrical Technology and Components

Life Sciences and Chemistry

Material Sciences and Metallurgy

Mechanical Technologies

Global Experience

Asia Pacific

Europe

Middle East and Africa

North America

South America